Characterization of Liver Metastases Using Ultrasonography

AbdalrafiaBallaMohd^{1,2}, Prof. MEM Garelnabi^{2,4} Dr Babkir.Awad Aalla².

¹Radiology department, Alshaab Teaching Hospital, Khartoum, Sudan ²Sudan University of Science and Technology, College of Medical Radiological Science Khartoum Sudan ⁴National University Sudan Fauculty of Radiography and Medical Imaging Khartoum Sudan *Corresponding Author: AbdelrafiaBallaMohd Saad

ABSTRACT:-

Objectives: The general aims of this study were to characterize liver metastatic lesions using ultrasonography in order to analyze the imaging spectrum of liver metastases by identifying the lesions distribution as number, location, shape, size, and echo texture.

A prospective study was investigate the patient with suspected liver metasases using ultrasound machine Toshiba Xario diagnostic ultrasound system, using 3.5Mhz curvilinear probe in period from 2016 to 2019 at ibn-sina teaching hospital and Dr. Osman abdalwahab private clinic. Abdominal ultrasound of 112 patients with clinical diagnosis of liver metastases was performed. The data collected include all patient ad disease related character which are: gender, age, (metastasetic: size, shape, site, number, and echotextures), liver (size and echotexture.)

Result: Out of 112 patients there were 75 (67%) male and 57 (33%) were female. The most common liver metastases diagnosed by ultrasound were 112 (43%) cases as metastases. The ultrasound appearance of liver metastases among 112 patients were hyper echoic in 62cases (55,4%), hypo echoic in 36 cases (32,1%), mixed in 5cases(4,5%), , cystic in case 6 (5,3%), and iso echoic in 3 cases(2.7%). The majority of cases of live metastases had rounded shape in 104 cases (92.8%), while the remaining 8 cases described as 7cases (6,3%) was ovale and 1case(0.9%) was irregular

Conclusion: Ultrasound is a safe and effective method of detecting liver metastases, it is flexibility, easy, availability and lack of dependence on organ function makes it most ideal for imaging the liver and also serves as an object of defining therapeutic decision quickly. The most f liver metastases had hypo echoic echo pattern, rounded shape, multiple in number, and had different sizes. they mainly involver right liver lobe.

Keywords: liver lesions, metastases, ultrasound

INTRODUCTION

I.

The liver is the largest organ in the abdomen ,weighting about 1800 kg in men and slightly less than in women, classically liver is divided into right and left lobes by the falciform ligament .the liver lies I the right upper quadrant of the abdomen suspended from the right hemi diaphragm .functionally ,it can be edivided into three lobes right ,left and caudate lobes .(**Hussain S . M and SemelkaRc 2005**)

Liver is the large organ in the body that cleans the blood and produces bile which helps the body deal with the fats we eat.how ever liver tissue is prone to disease such as cyst, alcoholic cirrhosis and carcinoma (Sugany, R and S. Rajaram 2012).

The liver is one of the commonest site for metastases and terminal involvement. Is the rule in all but CNSMaignances, this can be attributed to its large size and high rate of blood flow and double perfusion by the portal vien and hepatic artery. The route of tumor that spread to the liver is more like tobeheamatogenous rather than lymphatic because for the most part of liver's lymphaticarehepatofugal. (Thimmaiah 2013)

A liver metastases is a cancerous tumor that has spread to the liver from the cancer that started in another place in the body. It is also called secondary liver cancer. (Monica Bien PA 2016)

The most common primary tumors resulting I the liver metastases, in decreasing order of frequency, are gallbladder, colon, stomach, breast, and lung .most metastases to the liver are blood- born through the hepatic artery or portal vein, but lymphatic spread of tumors from stomach, pancreas, ovaryoruterusmay also occur. (Carol. M. Romack et al 2011)

Ultrasoundiswidely accessible, inexpensive, noninvasive, and portable with high spatial and temporal resolution. ultrasound is the first choice of investigation for screening of patients with suspected liver metastases.(**Dr Anirudh Chawla et al 2014**)

The sonographic appearance of liver disease described as echogenic, hypochoic, target , calcified, cystic, and diffuse .(caroL .M.rumach et a 2011)

The chogenic metastases tend to arise from gastrointestinal origin or from HCC. The more vascular the tumor the more likely it is that the lesion is echogenic.then for metastases from renal cell carcinoma, neurplastomatumors, carcinoid, also tend to be hyperechoic. (Miollo.D etal1980&Fujita .M. et al1990)

Hypo echoic metastases are generally hypo vascular and may bemonocellular or hyper cellular without interstitial stormahypoechoic lesion represent the typical pattern seen inuntreated metastatic breast or lung cancer, as well as gastric ,pancreatic and esophageal tumors . (Ccarol. M .Rrumack 2011)

The bull's-eye or target pattern is characterized by peripheral hypo echoic zone, the appearance is non-specific and common ,although it is frequentlyidentified in metastases from bronchogenic carcinoma.(Yohino.Metal **1987**)

Calcified metastases are distinctive by virtue of their marked echogenicity and distal acoustic shadowing. Mutinous adenocarcinoma of the colon is most frequently associated with calcified metastases. Other primarymalignancies that give rise to calcified metastases are, endocrine pancreatic tumor,liomyosarcoma, adenocarcinoma of the stomach, neuroblastoma, estiogenic sarcoma and ovarian cyst adenocarcinoma. (Caromella E et al 1982)

Cystic metastases are uncommon and generally exhibit features that distinguish them from the ubiquitous benign hepatic cyst include mural nodules, thick wall, fluid filled, and internal septations. Primary neoplasm's with a cystic component ,such as cyst adenocarcinoma of the ovary and pancreas and mucinous carcinoma of the colon, may produce cystic secondary lesion.(Goldstein H M1978)

II. **METHODOLOGY**

This is was a three years prospective study conducted at Ibn Sina teaching hospital and DrOsman Alwahab private clinic included 112 patients (75 males 57 females) aged from 4 years to 90 years, with 112 liver metastases diagnosed by ultrasound..ethical approval would be granted from the hospital and private clinic as well as informed consent from the patients would be taken that no patients identifications would be disclosed.requestedabdominal ultrasound was done using Toshiba Xario, Diagnostic ultrasound system Model SSA-666A, with 35 curve-linear transducer probe and Sony printer and also using general Electric Ultrasound ,Machine,Model 2104587 with curve linear transducer probe and Sony printer. Liver was scanned in various planes ,varios ultrasonographic features of liver lesions were observed which include: number of metastases (single or multiple) location within the liver, lobar distributions (right lobe, left lobe, both lobes), Echogenicity (by comparing with that of normal liver parenchyma), hyper echoic, hypo echoic, an echoic, or mixed echo genic. size and shape like round oval or irregulars also were observed. the data would be collected in questionnaire which were coded before entering data into computer using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) for analysis

	Frequency	Percent
Male	75	67
Female	57	33
Total	112	100

III. RESULT

This study comprise of 112 cancerous patients developed of liver metastases evaluated by ultrasound for a period of thre years from 2016to April 2019at Ibnsia Hospital in Khartoum State and Dr Oman Abdelwahabcentre.

Table.1 sex distribution of liver metastases

Out of 112 patients included in this study, 75(67) were male and 57(33) were female. the age ranged from 4 to 90 years old, male had increased predilection for liver metastases than women. (table .1)

Table no 2 Echo textures of liver metastases							
		ECHOGINICITY					Total
		HYPOECHOIC	HYPERCHO	MIXE	cystic	isoecho	
			IC	D		ic	
	MET	62	36	5	6	3	112
	S						
Total		62	36	5	6	3	112

.... 0.11

The ultrasound appearance of liver metastases among 112 patients were hypo echoic in 62cases (55,4%), hyper echoic in 36 cases (32,1%), mixed in 5cases(4,5%), cystic in case 6 (5,3%), and iso echoic in 3 cases(2.7%).(**Table2.**) These findings are in a accordance with study done by Bruncton et al who found that about 70% of liver metastases had hypo echoic appearance on ultra sonography. (**Bruncton et al 1982**)

Shape of metastases	Frequency	Percent
Round	104	92.8
Ovale	7	6.3
Irregular	1	0.9
Total	112	100.0

Tabl3. Distribution of liver metastases based on the shape



Fig.2 Shape of liver metastases

The study showed that the majority of cases of live metastases had rounded shape in 104 cases (92.8%), while the remaining 8 cases described as 7 cases (6,3%) was ovale and in one case(0.9%) was irregular. **table.3&Fig2** these fiding was similar as those found by Hohmann et al 2013 whom found that the most metastase were round with well defined margins

Table 3 distribution of liver metastases based on Lobar involvement					
		SITE			Total
		Rt lobe	Lt lobe	Both lobes	
	METS	59	7	46	112
Total		59	7	46	112

According to distribution of liver metastases based on Lobar involvement there were of 112 patients studied, 59cases(52.6%) involved right lobe, 7cases(6,3%) involved left lobe, 46cases, (41.1%) involved both lobes of cases. (**Table.3**).

The highest incidence of right lobe involvement due to the rich blood supply and much greater volume of right liver lobe. Which supported by Thiamiah et al 2013, studied the evaluation f liver lesion by ultrasound which showed that the majority of liver metastases involved the right livet lobe.

Table no 4 LESION TYPE * NUMBER						
		NUMBER			Total	
		single	two	multiple		
	METS	41	3	68	112	
Total		41	3	68	112	

As a distribution of liver leson number there were of 122 patients, 68 cases (60,7%) were multiple, these finding supported those of Li D and Hann, whom found that 98 % of liver metastases were multiple features. (Li D and Hann2005). And close correlate with study conducted by Yoshida Tet al 1987 41 patients (63.6%) were single and 3(2.7%) patients were two in number

Table. 5 size of liver metastases				
Size of metastases spot	Frequency	Percent		
small	20	17.8		
middle	6	5,4		
large	29	25.9		
Different sizes	57	50.9		
Total	112	100.0		

able.	5	size	of	liver	metastase
unice	~	SILC	UI		metubube

The size of liver metastases on ultrasound was small in 20 cases (17.8 %), moderate in 6cases (5,4%), large in 29cases (25.9%), and 57 cases(50.9%) had different sizes of cases . (Table 5)

IV. CONCLUSION

Liver metastatic disorders usually occur in patients with stomach, pancreas, colon, breast, and e.t.c... tumors Ultrasound is a safe and effective method of detecting liver metastases, it is flexibility, easy, availability and lack of dependence on organ function makes it most ideal for imaging the liver and also serves as an object of defining therapeutic decision quickly. The liver can be scanned in multiple planes enabling us to know the exact location of the metastases and study their echopattern, apart from detecting metastases, others valuable information like ascities, vessel involvement, primary source of malignancyin abdomen and pelvis can be easily obtained.

The study concluded that the most f liver metastases had hypo echoic echo pattern, rounded shape, multiple in number, and had different sizes. they mainly involver right liver lobe.

REFFERENCE

- A practical approach to analyzing focal liver lesions in the liver, Utrasound Q2005:21:187-200. [1].
- Content Based image retrieval of ultrasound liver diseases based on Hybrid Approach Suganya, R. and [2]. S.Rajaram (2012) American Journal of Applied Science 9(6):938-945, issn 1546-9239
- Color Doppler Flow Imaging of Liver tumors. Tanak, S, Kitamura T, Fujita.M. etal. AJRAM [3]. Roenteginol 1990:509-514
- Diagnostic Ultrasound 4th edition .Carol MRomack StephenieR. Wilson, I.Willim Charboneou, [4]. DeborahlevinsIN:978-0323-05397-6:p 130-131
- Evaluation of Focal liver Lesion by ultrasound as A prime Modalities. Dr Vishwannath .T.Thimmiah . [5]. Scholar Journal of Applied Medical Science (SJAMS)Sch. J .App.Med.Sci;2013;(6) :1041-1059
- Hepatic imaging: comparison of modalities Husain SM, Semelka, R.C., Radio, B. b.Clin NAM, 43, [6]. (2005) 929-947.
- https://www.healthtime.com/health/liver.metastases # out look.Monica Bien 2016. Visited on [7]. Friday at 3:30 Pm
- The Role of Vascularization in the Formation of Echo graphic pattern of Hepatic Metastases:Micro [8]. angiographic and Echo graphic Study. Rrubaltrelli I, Del Machineo A, condiani F, Miotro D. : Br Radial 1980:53:1166 -1168
- [9]. Ultrasound evaluation of hepatic lesions. Dr HiralHapani, drjagrutikalola, Dranjanatrivedi, dranirudhchawla
- IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences -ISNN:2279-0853, P- ISNN: 22790861. Volume13, [10]. Iissue 12 Ver -IV (Des-2014) PP 40-45.
- [11]. Ultra son graphic Differentation between Hepatocellular Carcinoma from Metastatic Liver CancerYoshida, T, Matsure. H. Okezak .N, Yohino.M. J Clin Ultrasound ,1987:15:431-437
- [12]. Ultrasonographic Study of Calcified Hepatic Metastases ,Aa report of 13 cases .Bruncton.J.N .Ladree. D, caramella Eetal.gastroentritisradiol 1982:7:61-63
- Ultrasouonography of Necrotic Hepatic Metastases .Wooten W. B, Green. B, Goldstein, N.M. [13]. Radiooy. 1978:128:1447-1450.

¹Radiology department, Alshaab Teaching Hospital, Khartoum, Sudan *Corresponding Author: AbdelrafiaBallaMohd Saad